

Provo, Utah, April 13, 1915.

The Lake Bottom Canal Company, The Provo Bench Canal & Irrigation Company, The Timpanogus Canal Company, The Upper East Union Irrigation Company, The Little Dry Creek Irrigation Company, The Residents of The River Bottoms, The West Union Canal Company And the Smith Ditch Company and the Carter Ditch, The Faucett Field Ditch Company:

Gentlemen;

In the distribution of the Provo River during the season of 1915, it will be necessary to know the area irrigated under each system. In my report to the District Court I have given the irrigated acreage under each system based on letters submitted therewith. If in this tabulation of areas is not correctly reported, you will please advise me by letter, in duplicate, the correct areas under your system, I will be pleased to receive a reply to this letter within ten days, and in case I do not receive reply or request for extension of time by April 25, 1915, I will assume the area given in report as acceptable to you, and will base my distribution accordingly.

Yours Truly,

---

Commissioner.

*Boyd Gale*

J.E. Booth, Attorney for

Provo, Utah, April 23, 1915.

Rudolph Riard, Permella Young, Hettie V. Goodman, Ada Young Littley,  
Permella Young, D.B. McBride, David S. Park.

Provo, Utah.

Dear Sir;

In the distribution of the Provo River during the season of 1915, it will be necessary to know the irrigated area under each system or canal. I have listed under the 'Barton & Young Ditch' 54. acres of irrigated land, and under the 'Park & Nuttall Ditch' I have listed 69 acres of irrigated land. If the above figures are not correct, you will please advise me by letter, in duplicate, the correct areas under each of these ditches, and in case I do not receive reply stating the areas irrigated, or a request for an extension of time, by May 1st, 1915, I will assume the areas herein given as correct and acceptable, and will base distribution accordingly.

Yours Truly,

---

Commissioner.

Provo, Utah May 1, 1915.

Excepting the reply of the West Union Canal Company which is attached hereto, I have recieved no communications to my letters of April 13, and April 23, relative to the areas<sup>of</sup> irrigated land under the respective systems as noted in the letters refered to above.

\*\*\*\*\*

During the Month of April practically all parties not having suitable measureing devises have been notified to instal proper appliances according to plans~~x~~ submitted and on locations designated, a great many have complied with the instructions, and, in this line it has been a very sucessful month.

\*\*\*\*\*

The month of April has been one of unusual precipitation, and a very ~~xxx~~ small of water diverted for irrigation. The month closed with a heavy snow-storm and frost. Snow in storage in the mountains is very light, river running low, with prospects of very low supply during the summer months.

\*\*\*\*\*

Verbally I requested Provo City to report on areas irrigated, at this date have recieved no reply.

\*\*\*\*\*

Measureing devises on the following ~~xxxx~~ canals, have been examined "leveled and trued up" and standard stationary, direct reading, gages instaled;

Provo Bench Canal, Fort Field, City Race, Factory Race, East Union City, Tanners Race, Wasatch Canal Co, Timpanogus Irrigation Company Canal, North Field Canal, Spring Creek & Sage Brush, And gage on City Creek

Provo, Utah May 1, 1915.

Excepting the reply of the West Union Canal Company which is attached hereto, I have received no communications to my letters of April 13, and April 23, relative to the areas of irrigated land under the respective systems as noted in the letters referred to above.

\*\*\*\*\*

During the Month of April practically all parties not having suitable

instal proper appliances according to the standard, a great many have complied with the same. It has been a very successful month.

\*\*\*\*\*

usual precipitation, and a very small amount of snow. The month closed with a heavy snowfall. The mountains are very light, river flow is very light, river flow is very light during the summer months.

\*\*\*\*\*

on areas irrigated, at this

\*\*\*\*\*

canals, have been examined by a company, direct reading, gages

factory Race, East Union City, Utah, Irrigation Company Canal, and gage on City Creek

*Lake View April 23 1915*

*J. F. Krentz*

*Commissioner*

*Dear Sir*

*In reply to yours of April 13<sup>th</sup> asking for the number of acres of Land irrigated by the West Union Canal Co. as*

*According to our reports from a personal visit to the stockholders in our company made about 1 year ago we are irrigating 1538 acres in high water and 1106 acres in low water. This includes the acreage of the Smith water running below the Carter point, but does not include*

C.F. DECKER, Mayor  
PUBLIC AFFAIRS AND FINANCE  
PUBLIC GROUNDS AND PARKS  
LE ROY DIXON, Commissioner  
PUBLIC SAFETY, STREET REPAIRS  
AND PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT  
H.J.W. GODDARD, Commissioner  
WATER SUPPLY AND WATER WORKS



GEORGE C. SWAN  
CITY ENGINEER

PROVO, UTAH May 3rd, 1915.

T. F. Wentz,  
Provo River Water Commissioner.

Provo City, Utah.

Dear Sir:

At the beginning of the season of 1914 you asked for a statement of the lots and acreage under the Provo City System. In compliance with your request I gave you a statement of the lands to which the City distributes the water and over which the City exercises some control. I see by your report that you have taken this to mean all the land ~~which~~ water from the ditches which you have charged to Provo City, including the Provo Pressed Brick Company Ditch and the Young Ditch. This is an error. There is in addition to the amount reported to you as above stated about 462 acres in the South and Southeast parts of the City which receive water from the City Ditches but which are not controlled by the City nor carried in the City Schedule. I have in making up this estimate excluded the extreme southern lands which receive enough water from seepage to supply their needs. There is also the land under the Provo Pressed Brick Co's Ditch and the land under the Alfred Young Ditch the extent of which we are not acquainted with and the distribution of water to which has never been under our control.

We prefer that the land under the last two named ditches be not included with our lands and the City be not charged with the water turned to them, for the reason that the City does not control these ditches and there is no reason why their water can not be measured separately from ours. It is true that the old decrees gave certain amounts of water to Provo City and the Cluff and Dixon Lands jointly but they were never under the Provo City Control and there are other lands under the Alfred Young Ditch which were not included in that decree and with the water for which Provo City should not be held responsible.

Respectfully,

City Engineer.

25-1000000